

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/666,010	09/19/2000	Daniel R. Ansley	5081		
7	590 07/31/2003				
Serle Ian Mosoff Law Offices at Sound Store 303 Boston Post Rd Port Chester, NY 10573			EXAMINER		
			SAUNDERS, DAVID A		
Port Chester, N	11 105/3		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
			1644	11	
			DATE MAILED: 07/31/2003	$\ell/$	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary	666,010	141	15 02 9	
Office Action Summary	Examiner SAUNDE	ا م	Group Art Unit	
	5/40/250	,23	1677	 .
—The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet b	eneath the co	rrespondence add	ress
Period for Reply				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	EXPIRE	MONTH(S)	FROM THE MAILIN	NG DATE
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 from the mailling date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, ex Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, 	within the statutory minimipire SIX (6) MONTHS from	um of thirty (30) d	lays will be considered of this communication	timely.
Status	,			
Aresponeive to communication(s) filed on	03			
This action is FINAL.				
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except fo accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 0			the merits is close	d in
Disposition of Claims				
(Claim(s) 21-27		is/are po	ending in the applic	ation.
Of the above claim(s)		is/are w	ithdrawn from cons	ideration.
□ Claim(s)		is/are al	llowed.	
1 Claim(s) 21-27		is/are re	ejected.	
□ Claim(s)		is/are ol	bjected to.	
□ Claim(s)			-	election
Application Papers		requirer	nent.	
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing F	Review. PTO-948.			
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is _ approved [☐ disapproved		
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected	I to by the Examiner.			
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
$\hfill\Box$ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)				
 □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority unde □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the □ received. 	priority documents ha	ve been		
☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number)			· ·	
□ received in this national stage application from the Intern	•	` ''		
*Certified copies not received:			•	
Attachment(s)				
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s		terview Summ	-	
Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892			al Patent Application	
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948	□ 0	ther		
Office A	ction Summary			

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 9-97)

Part of Paper No. ______

Application/Control Number: 09/666,010

Art Unit: 1644

Amendment of 5/27/03 has entered no new mater.

Claims 21-27 are pending and under examination.

Amendment has overcome 112 rejections of record, except as follows:

Claims 21-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 21-27 provides for the use of a composition of matter, but, since the claim does not set forth any steps involved in the method/process, it is unclear what method/process applicant is intending to encompass. A claim is indefinite where it merely recites a use without any active, positive steps delimiting how this use is actually practiced.

Claims 21-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed recitation of a use, without setting forth any steps involved in the process, results in an improper definition of a process, i.e., results in a claim which is not a proper process claim under 35 U.S.C. 101. See for example *Ex parte Dunki*, 153 USPQ 678 (Bd.App. 1967) and *Clinical Products, Ltd.* v. *Brenner*, 255 F. Supp. 131, 149 USPQ 475 (D.D.C. 1966).

While applicant's amendment has introduced an active step into the claims, the claims remain improper by commencing with the phrase "Use of...". The examiner fails to find such a format for the use of a compound or composition in any claims issued in classes 424 or 514.

Applicant's urgings have overcome previously stated 103 rejections. The examiner concurs that combination of Thorbecke et al and Nagai et al is improper. Nagai et al teach away (col 4, ll 33-45) from the use of high m.w. polymers in conjunction with FTS. It is noted that such components include thymosin alpha 1, which has a m.w. of 3100 (see Herbert et al). Thus

Application/Control Number: 09/666,010

Art Unit: 1644

Nagai et al certainly would teach away from using FTS in conjunction with the 7800 m.w. polypeptide of Thorbecke et al. Further, the examiner concurs that both references point toward use of purified peptides/polypeptides, not to an unpurified mixture of several.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David A Saunders, PhD whose telephone number is 703-308-3976.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christina Chan, can be reached on (703) 308-3973. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9307.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0196.

Application/Control Number: 09/666,010

Art Unit: 1644

Typed 7/30/03 DAS

Page 4

David a Sacenders

DAVID SAUNDERS

PRIMARY EXAMINER

ART UNIT 182 1644